AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial -MIWD (Rev. 10/09) Case 1:12-mj-00388-ESC ECF No. 29 filed 12/13/12 PageID.37 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Chanly Sanchez-Bertot	Case No. 1:12-mj-00388
	Defendant	
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform <i>i</i> efendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Finding	gs of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 a federal offense a state or local offense that w existed – that is	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a which the prison term is 10 years or more.	a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is deat	th or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been countries. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involved a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or de a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while to or local offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)		on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another sometimes not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Fin	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	
、 /	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or mo	
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	·*
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	ned by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ne safety of the community.
√ (1)	Alternative Fin There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	ndings (B)
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the R	Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the det a preponderance of the evidence that:	tention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest of adant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the	

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	December 13, 2012	Judge's Signature: _	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: <u>I</u>	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	